# **Judicial Impact Fiscal Note**

Washington Auto Theft Prevention Authority Washington Auto Theft Prevention Authority (375,000) (375,000) (750,000)	Bill Number: 1128 HB	ber: 1128 HB Title: DNA sample/ranked felonies					y: 055-Admin Courts	Office of the
Estimated Cash Receipts to:    Account	Part I: Estimates							
Account	No Fiscal Impact							
Washington Auto Theft Prevention Authority Account-State 11K-1 DNA Data Base Account-State 11K-1 DNA Data Base Account-State 515-1 375,000 375,000 750	Estimated Cash Receipts to:							
Account-State 11K-1  DNA Data Base Account-State 515-1  375,000  375,000  750,000  760,000  7	Account		FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-	17	2017-19	2019-21
Counties  Total S  Total FY 2016  TY 2017  TY 2015-17  Ty 2017-19  Ty 2019-2  Ty FTE Staff Years  Total Estimated Expenditures S  This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analyticulates a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.001.  The fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal net form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Particulated Devices and Follow corresponding instructions:  The fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Particulated Devices and Follow complete Part IV.  Total Estimated Expenditure and the provision of RCW 43.135.001.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.002.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.002.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.002.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal im	_	Authority	(375,000)	(375,0	00) (	750,000)	(750,000)	(750,000)
Estimated Expenditures from:  COUNTY FY Suntage Substance Substanc	DNA Data Base Account-State	515-1	375,000	375,0	00	750,000	750,000	750,000
Estimated Expenditures from:  COUNTY FY 2016 FY 2017 2015-17 2017-19 2019-2 County FTE Staff Years Account Local - Counties  Counties Subtotal S  CITY FY 2016 FY 2017 2015-17 2017-19 2019-2 City FTE Staff Years Account Local - Cities  Cities Subtotal S  Local Subtotal S  Local Subtotal S  Total Estimated Expenditures \$  This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analyticulates a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.  Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal no form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Institute Phone:  Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.	Counties							
Estimated Expenditures from:  COUNTY County FTE Staff Years Account Local - Counties Counties Subtotal \$ City FY 2016 FY 2017 2015-17 2017-19 2019-2 City FTE Staff Years Account Local - Cities Cities Subtotal \$ Cities Subtotal \$ Cities Subtotal \$ Cities Subtotal \$ Cotal - Cities Cities Subtotal \$ Cotal Estimated Expenditures \$ Cities Subtotal \$ Cotal Estimated Expenditures \$ Cities Subtotal \$ Cities Subtotal \$ Cities Subtotal \$ Cities Subtotal \$ Cotal Estimated Expenditures \$ Cotal Estimated Expenditures \$ Cities Subtotal \$ Cotal Estimated Expenditures \$ Cotal Estimated Expendi	Cities							
COUNTY  COUNTY  FY 2016  FY 2017  2015-17  2017-19  2019-2  County FTE Staff Years  Account  Counties  Counties Subtotal \$  CITY  FY 2016  FY 2017  2015-17  2017-19  2019-2  City FTE Staff Years  Account  Local - Cities  Cities Subtotal \$  Local - Cities  Cities Subtotal \$  Total Estimated Expenditures \$  This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analyticulades a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.  Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal not form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick States) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick States) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick States) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick States) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick States) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick States) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick States) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick States) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick States) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick States) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick States) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia		Total \$						
County FTE Staff Years  Account  Counties  Counties Subtotal \$  CITY  FY 2016  FY 2017  2015-17  2017-19  2019-2  City FTE Staff Years  Account  Local - Cities  Cities Subtotal \$  Local Subtotal \$  Total Estimated Expenditures \$  This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analyticulates a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.  Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal not form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick States) (Patrick	Estimated Expenditures from:							
Counties	COUNTY		FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-1	7	2017-19	2019-21
Counties Subtotal \$ Counti	•							
Counties Subtotal \$								
City FTE Staff Years  Account  Local - Cities  Cities Subtotal \$  Local Subtotal \$  Total Estimated Expenditures \$  This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analysic line and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.  Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal not form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Palacetal December 2).  Contact  Phone:  Date: 01/13/2015								
City FTE Staff Years  Account  Local - Cities  Cities Subtotal \$  Local Subtotal \$  Total Estimated Expenditures \$  This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analyticulates a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.  Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal not form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) (Pat		Subtotal \$						
Cotice   Cities   C			FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-1	7	2017-19	2019-21
Cities Subtotal \$ Local Subtotal \$ Total Estimated Expenditures \$  This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analyticulates a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.  Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal not form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact).  Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.								
Cities Subtotal \$ Local Subtotal \$ Total Estimated Expenditures \$  Total Estimated Expenditures \$  This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analyticulates a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.  Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal not form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact) and the current biennium or in subsequent biennia.								
Local Subtotal \$ Total Estimated Expenditures \$  This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analytic includes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.  Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal not form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Patrick Impact).  Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.		0-1-4-4-1-0						
This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analysincludes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.  Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal not form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Paginal budget impact, complete Part IV.  Contact  Phone:  Date: 01/13/2015						_		
This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analytic includes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.  The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.  Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal not form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Page 1) Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.  Contact  Phone:  Date: 01/13/2015								
The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.  Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal not form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Page 1) Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.  Contact  Phone:  Date: 01/13/2015	Total Estimated Expe	naitures \$						
Subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.  Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal not form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Particular Date: 01/13/2015)  Contact  Phone:  Date: 01/13/2015							Therefore, this fi	scal analysis
Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.  Contact  Phone: Date: 01/13/2015	subject to the provisions of RCW 43.13 Check applicable boxes and follow  If fiscal impact is greater than \$ form Parts I-V.	correspondir 50,000 per f	ng instructions: iscal year in the cur	rrent biennium	or in subsequ	ent bienni	ia, complete entire	
			al year in the currer	nt biennium or	in subsequent	biennia, o	complete this page	e only (Part I).
	Contact				Phone:		Date: 01/1	3/2015
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis Phone: 360-704-4142 Date: 01/20/2015	Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis				Phone: 260.7	04_4142	Data: 01/2	0/2015

Request # 1128 HB-2

Date: 01/20/2015

Date:

Phone: 360-357-2406

Phone:

Ramsey Radwan

Agency Approval:

OFM Review:

#### **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

Section 5 amends RCW 43.43.754. A gross misdemeanor violation of an order (as described in RCW 26.50.110) would be included in the criteria that require collection of a DNA sample. The court would require an adult arrested for or charged with a ranked felony and other crimes to submit to collection of a DNA sample. A judicial finding of probable cause would be required prior to analysis of the DNA sample.

Section 8 amends RCW 46.63.110. Distribution of monies received for traffic infraction penalties would be changed. The fee distributed to the auto theft prevention authority account would be reduced to \$9.50 from \$10 per infraction, and 50¢ per infraction would be distributed to the state DNA database account.

Section 9 amends RCW 43.43.690. This section would not allow the court to suspend or defer payment of the crime lab analysis fee of \$100 per offense for which a person was convicted.

#### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

Section 8. The fee distributed to the auto theft prevention authority account would be reduced to \$9.50 from \$10, and 50¢ would be distributed to the state DNA database account.

In FY 2013, there was approximately \$7,500,000 distributed to the auto theft prevention authority account from traffic infractions. Based on current revenue collection projections, we are assuming that approximately \$375,000 would be distributed to the DNA database account annually, rather than the auto theft prevention authority account.

Section 9. The \$100 crime lab analysis fee assessed at conviction would not be allowed to be suspended or deferred. We cannot predict potential additional revenue as we do not know how many of these fees have been suspended or waived in the past. In addition, collection rates on LFOs are low, and it should be noted that payment of the restitution portion of an offender's legal financial obligations takes priority over other fees and costs.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Section 5. Based on information received, we are assuming no significant increase in time at the first appearance hearing for defendants summoned into court to meet the requirements of this section.

Section 8 of the bill would require modifications to the Judicial Information System (JIS) to change distribution of the monies received for traffic infraction penalties. These modifications are estimated to take 85 hours of AOC staff time which equates to a one-time cost of \$4,505. It is important to note that the passage of several bills requiring JIS modifications would have a cumulative impact and could exceed current resources.

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

## III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<u>State</u>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages					
Employee Benefits					
Personal Service Contracts					
Goods and Services					
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total \$					

## III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<u>County</u>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

#### III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

City	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

3



## **Ten-Year Analysis**

Bill Number	Title	Agency
1128 HB	DNA sample/ranked felonies	055 Admin Office of the Courts

This ten-year analysis is limited to agency estimated cash receipts associated with the proposed tax or fee increases. The Office of Financial Management ten-year projection can be found at http://www.ofm.wa.gov/tax/default.asp.

#### **Estimates**

	No Cash Receipts		Indeterminate Cash Receipts
--	------------------	--	-----------------------------

## **Estimated Cash Receipts**

Name of Tax or Fee	Acct Code	Fiscal Year 2016	Fiscal Year 2017	Fiscal Year 2018	Fiscal Year 2019	Fiscal Year 2020	Fiscal Year 2021	Fiscal Year 2022	Fiscal Year 2023	Fiscal Year 2024	Fiscal Year 2025	2016-25 TOTAL
RCW 46.63.110	515	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	3,750,000
Total		375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	3,750,000

<b>Biennial Totals</b>	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	3,750,000
------------------------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-----------

Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 1/20/2015 4:45:12 pm
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 1/20/2015 4:45:12 pm
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date: